

**REMARKS**

In the office action mailed on March 10, 2006, claims 1, 6 - 10, 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 6,107,000 (to Lee et al.); claims 1, 3, 5 - 9, 11, 12, 14 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the Ming et al. article in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,285,817 (to Hobbs); and claims 2, 4 and 15 were indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Responsive to the office action, claims 1 and 4 are amended to include the limitations of claims 2 and 15 respectively, and new independent claim 17 is added, which includes the limitations of claim 1, 3 and 4. Claims 2 - 4, 12, 15 and 16 are cancelled, and new dependent claims 18 - 25 depend from claim 17.

Each of claims 1, 5- 11, 13, 14 and 17 - 25, therefore, is considered to be in condition for allowance. Favorable action consistent with the above is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William E. Hilton  
Registration No. 35,192  
Gauthier & Connors  
225 Franklin Street, Suite 2300  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
Telephone: (617) 426-9180  
Extension: 111